

Description

NO_x, Hg, AND SO₂ REMOVAL USING AMMONIA

BACKGROUND OF INVENTION

[0001] a. Field of the Invention.

[0002] This invention relates to methods and apparatuses for removing NO_x and SO₂ from a gas stream.

[0003] b. Description of the Related Art.

[0004] Fossil fuels are burned in many industrial processes. Electric power producers, for example, burn large quantities of coal, oil, and natural gas. Sulfur dioxide ("SO₂"), nitrogen oxide ("NO"), and nitrogen dioxide ("NO₂") are some of the unwanted byproducts of burning any type of fossil fuel. Mercury ("Hg") is often also found in fossil fuels. These byproducts are known to have serious negative health effects on people, animals, and plants, and a great deal of research has been done to find a way to economically remove them from flue gas streams before they enter

the atmosphere.

[0005] SO_2 is often removed from gas streams ("desulfurization") by scrubbing the gas with an aqueous ammonium sulfate solution containing ammonia. Examples of this process are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,690,807, 5,362,458, 6,277,343, and 6,221,325, which are not admitted to be prior art by their mention in this Background section. The absorbed sulfur compounds react with ammonia to form ammonium sulfite and ammonium bisulfite, which are then oxidized to form ammonium sulfate and ammonium bisulfate. The ammonium bisulfate is further ammoniated to form ammonium sulfate. The process does not remove NO or NO_2 , however, which must then be dealt with using a different process.

[0006] NO and NO_2 (together known as "NOx") can be removed from a gas stream by contacting the gas stream with either ClO_2 or O_3 to convert NO into NO_2 , and then scrubbing with an aqueous solution of a sulfur-containing reducing compound of alkali metals or ammonia, and a catalytic compound. Such a process is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,029,739, by Senjo et al., which is not admitted to be prior art by its mention in this Background section. This process, however, does not remove SO_2 , and re-

quires the addition of chlorine or ozone into the system by some other means.

[0007] Some processes exist that remove both NO_x and SO₂. In one such process disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,035,470, by Senjo et al., which is not admitted to being prior art by its mention in this Background section, NO is oxidized to NO₂ by contacting the gas with either ClO₂ or O₃ as above. Then the SO₂ is scrubbed with a sulfite and an oxidation retardant that suppresses oxidation of the sulfite to sulfate. Iron or copper compounds can also be added to depress oxidation. Optionally, ammonium hydroxide can be added to make sulfite and to react with CO₂ in the gas stream to make carbonate. Like in U.S. Patent No. 4,029,739 mentioned above, this process requires the addition of either chlorine or ozone, and further requires a consumable sulfite oxidation retardant. The referenced patent did not mention whether the byproducts included any valuable material like ammonium sulfate. However, both patents 4,029,739 and 4,035,470 require the addition of chlorine to a gas stream that is eventually released to the atmosphere, creating a serious safety concern.

[0008] Yet another process for removing NO_x and SO₂ from a gas stream is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,971,777, by Firn-

haber et al., which is not admitted to be prior art by its inclusion in this Background section. In this process, NO is oxidized to NO₂ by the addition of organic compounds which decompose into radicals at high temperatures. Then an aqueous ammonia solution in which the pH is adjusted to be below 5.0 absorbs the NOx and SO₂. Firnhaber teaches the importance of holding the scrubbing solution to a low pH, since higher pH levels produce aerosols of the ammonia salts that he says is an environmental burden to be thwarted. Ammonia aerosols are formed by gas phase reactions of ammonia vapor in the scrubber and create a blue haze or white vapor that emanates from the stack. This is also called "ammonia slip." Free ammonia in the atmosphere would be a serious health and environmental hazard. Firnhaber dismisses the possibility of aerosol removal means due to prohibitive investment costs and high pressure loss, for instance.

[0009] What is needed, therefore, is a process that removes SO₂, NO, and NO₂ from a gas stream that does not require the addition of a catalyst, chlorine, or ozone, can occur at relatively high pH, and does not result in ammonia slip.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

[0010] The present invention is directed to a process and appa-

ratus that removes SO_2 , NO , and NO_2 from a gas stream that does not require the addition of a catalyst, chlorine, or ozone, occurs at a relatively high pH, and does not result in ammonia slip. A process that satisfies these needs comprises the steps of oxidizing NO to NO_2 , scrubbing SO_2 , NO , and NO_2 from the flue gas stream with an ammonia scrubbing solution having a pH between six and eight, and removing any ammonia aerosols generated by the scrubbing steps with an aerosol removal means. These and other features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with reference to the following description, drawings, and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0011] Fig. 1 is a process flow chart showing the process of the present invention.

[0012] Fig. 2 is a cut-away view of an apparatus according to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0013] The present invention is a process and apparatus for removing SO_2 , NO , and NO_2 from a gas stream, especially from the flue gas stream of a fossil fuel boiler. In practice, flue gas from the combustion of fossil fuel nearly always

contains more NO than NO₂, and often contains Hg, which can also be removed from the gas stream by this invention.

[0014] The inventors are familiar with methods and apparatuses for removing SO₂ and NO_x from gas streams. U.S. Patent Nos. 5,871,703, and 6,117,403 teach the use of an electrical discharge apparatus to oxidize SO₂ and NO_x to form sulfuric and nitric acids respectively, collecting the acids in a wet electrostatic precipitator ("WESP") to form an effluent, and processing the effluent to make industrial grade acids that can be sold. The inventors on these two patents are Alix, Neister, and McLarnon, two of whom are inventors of the present invention. U.S. Patent No. 6,132,692 teaches the use of a dielectric barrier discharge ("DBD") reactor to form the same acids, collecting them in a WESP, and draining them from the WESP to remove them from a gas stream. The inventors on this patent are Alix, Neister, McLarnon, and Boyle, two of whom are inventors of the present invention. The above three patents were owned by the owner of the present invention as of the filing date of this specification. They are hereby incorporated by reference as if completely rewritten herein.

[0015] The present invention comprises a three-step process as

shown in Figure 1. A gas stream comprising SO_2 , NO, NO_2 , and perhaps Hg, are present prior to the first step 60. The first step 60 is oxidizing at least a portion of the NO in the flue gas to NO_2 with an oxidizing means. The means selected should be able to oxidize greater than about two percent of the NO to NO_2 , and is preferably in the region of about ninety percent.

[0016] The oxidizing step should be adjusted so that the resulting mole ratio of SO_2 to NO_2 after the oxidizing step should be at least 2.5 to 1. The ratio is preferably four to one, but can be greater. The oxidizing means 60 can be any means known in the art, including but not limited to using an electrical discharge reactor, and injecting ClO_2 , O_3 or certain organic compounds. For example, U.S. Patent Nos. 4,029,739 and 4,035,470 teach converting NO to NO_2 by the addition of ClO_2 or O_3 into the gas stream. U.S. Patent No. 4,971,777 teaches the addition of certain organic compounds that decompose into radicals at high temperatures.

[0017] Examples of suitable electrical discharge reactors include corona, pulsed corona, and DBD. DBD is synonymously referred to as silent discharge and non-thermal plasma discharge. It is not the same as corona discharge or






pulsed corona discharge. E-beam sources would be at a large economic disadvantage if it could be made to work at all due to the cost of energy and shielding required. In addition, e-beam converts too much SO_2 so that it cannot be used for NO_2 scrubbing. The preferred embodiment uses a DBD reactor, such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,132,692, by Alix, et al. In practice, the operator of the process will adjust the power input to the reactor to attain the desired oxidation results as a function of the cost of power input to the reactor, desired scrubbing results, and other factors. Laboratory testing has shown that oxidation of at least 90% of the NO and Hg is readily attainable with the present invention.

[0018] As taught in U.S. Patent No. 6,132,692, a DBD reactor will oxidize at least a portion of the NO and NO_2 in a gas stream to nitric acid, and at least a portion of the SO_2 in a gas stream to sulfuric acid. These acids are dealt with in the next step of the process.

[0019] If oxidizing means other than an electrical discharge reactor is used, Hg may or may not be oxidized to HgO . On the other hand, it is possible, and perhaps desirable, that some of the NO and NO_2 becomes further oxidized to form HNO_3 regardless of the means used. The reason why

this may be desirable will be made clear later in this specification.

[0020] Another oxidizing means 60 is adding propene or ethylene to the flue gas followed by oxidizing NO to NO₂ in the electrical discharge reactor. This would have the advantage of reducing the power input requirement of the electrical discharge reactor to get the same amount of NO to NO₂ oxidation. Ethylene can be added in about a 2:1 molar ratio of ethylene to NO. The chemical reaction mechanisms for ethylene conversion of NO to NO₂ in an electrical discharge reactor are likely to be as follows:



[0026] In any event, the output gas stream comprises less NO, more NO₂, SO₂, perhaps HNO₃, perhaps H₂SO₄, and perhaps HgO, as shown in Figure 1.

[0027] The second step 62 is scrubbing at least a portion of the SO₂, NO, and NO₂ present in the gas stream with an aque-



ous ammonia scrubbing solution. The term "scrubbing" typically means "absorbing" to people having skill in the art, meaning that SO_2 , NO , and NO_2 is absorbed by the aqueous solution. However, it is intended that the term "scrubbing" as used in this specification also includes adding anhydrous ammonia gas to initiate the reactions leading to the oxidation of SO_2 and reduction of NO_2 .

[0028] The solution preferably comprises ammonia, ammonium sulfite, ammonium sulfate, and water. The solution preferably has a pH between six and eight, which is much higher than that taught by Firnhaber. Firnhaber teaches that the pH must be kept to less than five, and is preferably 4.5, to prevent the formation of aerosols. However, the present invention is not concerned with avoiding the formation of aerosols because it includes an aerosol removal means 64, described later in this specification.

[0029] Maintaining a relatively high pH has several benefits. It increases the speed of absorption of SO_2 . It increases the ratio of sulfite available in solution compared to bisulfite, which facilitates the oxidation of SO_2 and reduction of NO_2 . The ratio of sulfite to bisulfite is highly dependent on pH level. From these benefits, it follows that the absorption vessel, shown as item 44 in Fig. 2, can be substan-

tially smaller than that used to scrub the same amount of SO_2 in a conventional limestone scrubber which is the most typical SO_2 scrubber in use today. In addition, the amount of scrubbing liquid required and the liquid to gas ratio can be reduced. It is estimated that the size of the absorption vessel 44 can be reduced by half, and the liquid to gas ratio can be reduced by a third. Because the cost of the absorption vessel and liquid circulating equipment represent a large fraction of the total cost of a scrubber, the ability to substantially reduce the size of the vessel and associated pumps and piping is a major advantage of the present invention over the prior art.



[0030] Although Figure 1 shows ammonia being added at this step, ammonia in the form of ammonium hydroxide can be added instead. The ammonia reacts with the gas stream output from the oxidizing step, forming ammonium sulfite and ammonium bisulfite. The likely chemical reactions in this step are as follows:



[0034] An oxidation inhibitor can be added at this step to inhibit

the oxidation of sulfite to sulfate before the sulfite can perform its NO_2 reduction function. Examples of oxidation inhibitors include thiosulfate and thiourea.

[0035] The ammonium bisulfite and ammonium sulfite reacts with the NO and NO_2 to form ammonium sulfate. Ammonium sulfate is well known as a valuable agricultural fertilizer. The likely reactions that take place in this step are as follows:



[0038] Most of the HNO_3 that may have been formed by further oxidation of NO and NO_2 , and/or created by a DBD reactor, will react with ammonia and form ammonium nitrate, also known to be a valuable agricultural fertilizer, according to the following formula:



[0040] In a similar way, most of the sulfuric acid created by the DBD reactor will react with the solution and form ammonium bisulfate, which will then be oxidized to ammonium sulfate. As one can see from the above equations, the process removes SO_2 , NO, and NO_2 from the gas stream, and produces ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, and

nitrogen. Over time, the ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate will concentrate in the aqueous ammonia solution and precipitate out of solution. The solid precipitate can then be removed from the scrubber and processed for use as fertilizer.

[0041] The gas stream after the scrubbing step comprises nitrogen and water. Since the pH of the scrubbing solution is higher than about five, the output from the scrubbing step will likely contain ammonia aerosols. If not collected in the scrubbing solution, the gas stream will also contain HgO.

[0042] The third step 64 is removing at least a portion of the ammonia aerosols, ammonia vapor, and the HgO, if present, from the gas stream. A wet electrostatic precipitator ("WESP") may be used as the ammonia removal means. A WESP is effective at collecting ammonia aerosols, ammonia vapor, HgO, and any other aerosols or particles that may be present in the gas stream.

[0043] As a result of this three-step process, SO_2 , NO, NO_2 , and Hg are removed from a gas stream to provide ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate. The output of the aerosol removal means comprises N_2 as a result of the process of the present invention.

[0044] An apparatus according to the present invention is shown in Figure 2. A gas stream comprising SO_2 , NO, NO_2 , and perhaps Hg 14 enters the apparatus assisted by a forced draft fan 12. The gas then enters a means for oxidizing 10 at least a portion of the NO in the gas stream to NO_2 . The oxidation means 10 performs the oxidizing step 60 shown in Figure 1, which is more fully described above. In the preferred embodiment, at least one DBD reactor is used, and can be provided in modules 16 to facilitate manufacture and installation. At least one power supply and controller is required to operate a DBD reactor, which are selected by those having skill in the art, but are not shown in the drawings.

[0045] After the oxidation means 10, the gas stream 18 comprises SO_2 , less NO, more NO_2 , perhaps HNO_3 , perhaps H_2SO_4 and perhaps HgO. The gas stream temperature at this point is about 350 °F. The gas stream then enters a scrubbing vessel 44 in a region 19 over an aqueous ammonium sulfate solution 22. Preferably, the aqueous ammonium sulfate solution comprises ammonia, ammonium sulfite, ammonium sulfate, and water. Water in the ammonium sulfate solution 22 evaporates due to the heat of the gas stream 18, thus concentrating the solution and caus-

ing ammonium sulfate 15 to precipitate out of solution, which is then removed from the vessel 44. The removed ammonium sulfate 15 can then be dried and granulated to produce a saleable fertilizer product.

[0046] Oxidizer 17 can be introduced into the ammonium sulfate solution 22 for oxidizing ammonium sulfite into ammonium sulfate. Examples of suitable oxidizers for this application include peroxide, potassium permanganate, and a combination of a catalyst plus air. Ammonium sulfate solution 22 is pumped with a circulation pump 50 to a set of lower spray nozzles 24 that serve to cool and saturate the gas stream 18 with water vapor.

[0047] Another circulation loop is provided wherein aqueous ammonium sulfite and sulfate in a vessel 48 is pumped with a circulation pump 52 to a set of wash spray nozzles 36 and a set of upper spray nozzles 34. The liquid then falls to a dual flow tray 30. A separator tray 26 allows some of the liquid to fall into the ammonium sulfate solution 22, and the remainder is piped to the vessel 48. Additional makeup ammonia 32 is added to the upper spray nozzles 34. These two circulation loops, independently or together, perform the scrubbing step 62 of Figure 1, which is described in detail above.

[0048] Following the scrubbing loops, a WESP 40 is provided to remove any ammonia aerosols or HgO that may have formed earlier in the process. The WESP 40 is preferably a shell-and-tube type of WESP, but can be a plate type, or any WESP such as is known by those having skill in the art. The WESP 40 is wetted using a set of sprays 42 fed by the ammonium sulfite and sulfate vessel 48 and circulation pump 52 via a conduit 20. A mist eliminator 38 can be provided below the WESP 40. The WESP 40 is an example of the aerosol removal means 64 described in Figure 1. The gas stream 46 exiting the WESP 40 has considerably less NO_x and SO₂ than that which entered the process and apparatus, and has an increased amount of the reaction products, which are nitrogen and water.

[0049] The following laboratory-scale examples of the process demonstrate the efficacy of the present invention:

[0050] **EXAMPLE 1**

[0051] An absorption test was done for the scrubbing step of the process of the present invention, with a solution that was 1% w/w SO₃²⁻ ("sulfite"), 6% w/w SO₄²⁻ ("sulfate"), and 2.5% S₂O₃²⁻ ("thiosulfate") in a packed column that was 18 inches high and 1.5 inches in diameter. The column was packed with 1/4 inch glass RASCHIG rings. The simu-

lated flue gas at the inlet of the column contained 13% v/v moisture, 6% v/v O₂ and the simulated flue gas pollutants listed in the table. There was continuous addition of NH₃ and (NH₄)₂S₂O₃ to maintain a pH of 6.8 and a thiosulfate concentration of 2.5% w/w. The residence time in the column was 1.8 sec with an L/G ratio of 25 gpm/kacfm.

[0052] The table shows the concentrations of NO, NO₂, and SO₂ at the inlet and outlet of the test system.

[0053] Table 1: Scrubbing Step Alone

	System Inlet	System Outlet
NO (ppmv)	20	4
NO ₂ (ppmv)	250	36
SO ₂ (ppmv)	1370	2

EXAMPLE 2

[0054] An absorption test was done for the scrubbing step of the process of the present invention starting with water and a flue gas stream consisting of 13% v/v moisture, 17 ppmv NO, 267 ppmv NO₂, 1360 ppmv SO₂, 6% v/v O₂ and balance N₂. Ammonia and ammonium thiosulfate were added to maintain a pH of 6.8 and a thiosulfate concentration of 2.5%, and the concentrations of sulfite and sulfate in the system were allowed to build to steady state. The NOx removal rate was 80% w/w at concentrations of SO₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻

and $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ of 0.7% w/w, 2.5% w/w, and 0.5% w/w respectively.

[0055] **EXAMPLE 3**

[0056] Tests were conducted in a laboratory test facility for the NO oxidizing, scrubbing, and aerosol removal steps of the process of the present invention. The equipment consisted of a simulated flue gas delivery system, a coaxial cylinder DBD reactor, a packed column scrubber and a tubular WESP. The following is an example of data obtained in the lab test facility.

[0057] Simulated flue gas was delivered to the DBD reactor at a flow rate of 14 scfm, a temperature of 290 °F and with the following composition: 6.2% v/v O_2 , 14.2% v/v CO_2 , 8.2% v/v H_2O , 20 ppmv CO, 250 ppmv C_2H_4 , 1740 ppmv SO_2 , and 259 ppmv NO_x . Gas velocity through the discharge reactor was 50 ft/sec with discharge power level of 140 watts.

[0058] Gas from the discharge reactor entered a 4" ID packed column scrubber, packed with 1/2" INTALOX saddles to a depth of 4 feet. Liquid was introduced at the top of the scrubber at a flow rate of 0.33 gpm (L/G= 20 gpm/kacfm). Aqueous ammonia was added to and effluent liquid removed from the recirculating scrubber solution to

maintain a constant total liquid volume and solution pH at 6.6. Gas from the packed bed scrubber was treated in a 4"ID wetted wall electrostatic precipitator with a gas residence time of 0.7 seconds.

[0059] The table below shows the concentrations of NO, NO₂ and SO₂ at the inlet to the system, the outlet of the barrier discharge reactor and at the outlet of the system.

[0060] Table 2: Three Step Process

	System Inlet	Discharge Reactor Outlet	System Outlet
NO (ppmv)	254	45	32
NO ₂ (ppmv)	5	109	9
SO ₂ (ppmv)	1740	1598	1

[0061] The three-step process and apparatus described herein was designed specifically to treat flue gas from a coal fired power plant. However, it can be appreciated that the invention is capable of operating on any gas stream in which NOx and SO₂ are present, including but not limited to gas and oil-fired boilers and various chemical manufacturing processes. The NOx and SO₂ concentrations and operating conditions will be different in each situation. Therefore, it is understood that an operator or system designer will be motivated to modify the scrubbing step 62

to possibly eliminate the need for either one or both the oxidizing step 60 or the aerosol removal step 64, or combine the three elements somehow so that fewer than three steps are needed.

[0062] It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit of the present invention. Accordingly, it is intended to encompass within the appended claims all such changes and modifications that fall within the scope of the present invention.